

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No 148 of 2003

in

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10059 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA

and

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

SURENDRANAGAR DISTRICT PANCHAYAT

Versus

RAMESHKUMAR CHIMANLAL SHAH

Appearance:

1. LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No. 148 of 2003
MR HS MUNSHAW for Appellant No.
MR KR KOSHTI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA

and

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 03/09/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA)

Almost identical Letters Patent Appeal No.309 of 2002 is dismissed today by us by a detailed reasoned order. Except the fact that there is some difference in facts of the case, all other points involved in this appeal are the same. Therefore, for the reasons recorded in Letters Patent Appeal No.309 of 2002, this appeal is also dismissed.

(B.J.Shethna, J.)

(Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)

*Pvv